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We're back again, time for your weekly practice, practice, practice. Again, there's more than one way to do anything in R (as we've seen in our dplyr lesson taught by Des). Work your way as far down as you can go before you start struggling. DONT GIVE UP. If things get too hard, feel free to google or check the help files for various functions. R is about googling as much as anything else!

Today we focus on subsetting otherwise known as taking a data set and filtering it to our choosing. There's many different ways to do this

## Easy

I want to buy a car. Say we have a data set of cars and their various performance statistics. Hey wait we do have that, it comes free with R! Its called the 'mtcars' data set. It's automatically loaded when you type in 'mtcars'

Now say I want to use this data set to help me decide what car to buy. I want to subset this list so I can see the 6 cylinder cars (cyl), but I'm only interested in seeing each cars horsepower (hp) and miles per gallon (mpg). Subset the 'mtcars' data set so I only have 6 cylinder cars and only the two columns 'hp' and 'mpg'

```
head(mtcars)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrr} 
\#\# & mpg & cyl & disp & hp & drat & wt & qsec & vs & am & gear & carb \\
\#\# Mazda RX4 & 21.0 & 6 & 160 & 110 & 3.90 & 2.620 & 16.46 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 \\
\#\# Mazda RX4 Wag & 21.0 & 6 & 160 & 110 & 3.90 & 2.875 & 17.02 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 \\
\#\# Datsun 710 & 22.8 & 4 & 108 & 93 & 3.85 & 2.320 & 18.61 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\
\#\# Hornet 4 Drive & 21.4 & 6 & 258 & 110 & 3.08 & 3.215 & 19.44 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
\#\# Hornet Sportabout & 18.7 & 8 & 360 & 175 & 3.15 & 3.440 & 17.02 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\
\#\# Valiant & 18.1 & 6 & 225 & 105 & 2.76 & 3.460 & 20.22 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
```


## Solution

I'm going to use the subset function, which is fairly straight forward

```
subset(mtcars, cyl==6, select=c(hp, mpg))
## hp mpg
## Mazda RX4 110 21.0
## Mazda RX4 Wag 110 21.0
## Hornet 4 Drive 110 21.4
## Valiant 105 18.1
## Merc 280 123 19.2
## Merc 280C 123 17.8
## Ferrari Dino 175 19.7
```

but we can do it without functions:

```
mtcars[mtcars$cyl==6 , c('hp','mpg')]
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
\#\# & hp & mpg \\
\#\# Mazda RX4 & 110 & 21.0 \\
\#\# Mazda RX4 Wag & 110 & 21.0 \\
\#\# Hornet 4 Drive & 110 & 21.4 \\
\#\# Valiant & 105 & 18.1 \\
\#\# Merc 280 & 123 & 19.2 \\
\#\# Merc 280C & 123 & 17.8 \\
\#\# Ferrari Dino & 175 & 19.7
\end{tabular}
```

We also can do this using dplyr!

```
###we have to add the row names as its own column first
mtcars$name <- row.names(mtcars)
mtcars %>% filter(cyl==6) %>% select(name,hp,mpg)
```

| \#\# | name | hp | mpg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# 1 | Mazda RX4 | 110 | 21.0 |
| \#\# 2 | Mazda RX4 Wag | 110 | 21.0 |
| \#\# 3 Hornet 4 Drive | 110 | 21.4 |  |
| \#\# 4 | Valiant | 105 | 18.1 |
| \#\# 5 | Merc 280 | 123 | 19.2 |
| \#\# 6 | Merc 280C | 123 | 17.8 |
| \#\# 7 | Ferrari Dino | 175 | 19.7 |

## Medium

Now I'm a picky person and I have a lot of needs and wants. What I really want is a car that satisfys these 4 critera.

1. I do not want a heavy car ('wt' is less than 4 kg )
2. I want a 4 or a 6 cylinder engine ('cyl' means cylinder)
3. I want it to get atleast 20 miles to the gallon ('mpg')
4. Because I am a scientist, I want the car that has the highest value of this metric I call the 'awesome' metric, which is obviously:

$$
\operatorname{mpg}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{hp}) /\left((10 w t)^{\wedge}(20 \mathrm{cyl})\right)
$$

At the end I want it to only show me the car that fits all of these criteria. Subset the data set so it shows me what car I'm going to buy.

## Solution

Just like before, we'll use subset first, but be mindful of your parenthesis, R will read this whole statement as is

```
final <- subset(mtcars, wt<4 & (cyl==4|cyl==6) & mpg >=20)
```

To calculate our awesome metric I'm going to go a step up and use the 'with' function, it works similar to 'mutuate' in dyplr and lets us to do something to a data set without actually messing with the original data set. You first give it the data.frame, then within the $\}$ you tell it what you want to do inside that dataset. Here we're going to calculate our awesome metric. The great thing about doing it this way is we don't have to say

$$
\text { final\$awesome <- final } \$ \mathrm{mpg}^{\wedge} \text { final } \$ \text { hp } \ldots \text {. etc }
$$

```
awesome <- with(final , {
    mpg^(hp) /((10*wt)^(20*cyl))
    })
```

Finally we're going to use the which.max function, which tells us the position of which number is the highest of our awesome vector (as opposed to max which gives us the actual value)

```
final[which.max(awesome),]
```



Bonus (Medium-Hard difficulty): I want a Mercedes (the 'Merc' means Mercedes ) and instead of my awesome metric I want the highest horsepower possible ('hp'). In this situation I want my final dataframe to only contain ONE row, my wining entry. So you must choose the name 'Merc' as well, WITHOUT manually typing in the number of the row for the Mercedes!

Solution This problem might be harder for people than I anticipated. I'm hoping that through google y'all have found the function 'grep' and 'grepl'. These search using something we call regularExpressions which is a way for codes to 'search' through character strings for something of interest. This example is pretty straight forward as we're only looking for the phrase 'merc' in each row.name. 'grepl' shows us the positions of a vector that match, 'grep' shows us the value. So we want to use grepl.
The 1st arguement is what character string we're searching for ('Merc' in our case), and the 2nd argument is where we want $R$ to search for that phrase (the row.names or name column in our case). This is cap sensitive.

```
grepl('Merc', row.names(mtcars))
```

\#\# [1] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE
\#\# [12] TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE
\#\# [23] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE

```
mtcars[grepl('Merc', row.names(mtcars)),]
```

| \#\# | mpg cyl | disp | hp drat | wt | qsec | vs | am | gear | carb | name |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# Merc 240D | 24.4 | 4 | 146.7 | 62 | 3.69 | 3.19 | 20.0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | Merc 240D |
| \#\# Merc 230 | 22.8 | 4 | 140.8 | 95 | 3.92 | 3.15 | 22.9 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | Merc 230 |


| \#\# Merc 280 | 19.2 | 6 | 167.6 | 123 | 3.92 | 3.44 | 18.3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | Merc 280 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| \#\# Merc 280C | 17.8 | 6 | 167.6 | 123 | 3.92 | 3.44 | 18.9 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | Merc 280C |
| \#\# Merc 450SE | 16.4 | 8 | 275.8 | 180 | 3.07 | 4.07 | 17.4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Merc 450SE |
| \#\# Merc 450SL | 17.3 | 8 | 275.8 | 180 | 3.07 | 3.73 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Merc 450SL |
| \#\# Merc 450SLC | 15.2 | 8 | 275.8 | 180 | 3.07 | 3.78 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Merc 450SLC |

Now we can just add this to our previous subset

```
final <- subset(mtcars, wt<4 & (cyl==4|cyl==6) & mpg >=20 & grepl('Merc', row.names(mtcars)) )
final[which.max(final$hp),]
```

```
## mpg cyl disp hp drat wt qsec vs am gear carb name
```

\#\# Merc $23022.84140 .8953 .923 .1522 .9110 \quad 4 \quad 2$ Merc 230

And our answer is the Mercedes 230! Price is clearly not part of my criteria
Notice we have used all logic statements that give us T/F, if we've statements that gave us positions we couldn't mix them with T/F logical statments

## Hard

Part 1. Say we have a $10 \times 10$ gridded wetland. In each cell we've measured two habitat characteristics water height and percent cover of grasses. We want to characterize each cell as a pond, mudflat, wetland, wet field, or upland based on our two measurements and put this into one categorical column called 'HabitatType'.

- pond $=$ water height $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ and percent cover of grass $<=0.5$
- mudflat $=$ water height $<=90 \mathrm{~mm}$ and percent cover of grass $<=0.5$
- wetland $=$ water height $>50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and percent cover of grass $>0.5$
- wetfield $=$ water height $<=50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and percent cover of grass $>0.5$
- upland $=$ water height $<=.1$ and percent cover of grass $>0.50$
- bare $=$ water height $<=.1$ and percent cover of grass $<0.5$

Heres your data set:

```
data <- data.frame(
    lat=rep(seq(30,30.9,.1), each=10),
    long=rep(seq(100,100.9,.1), by=10),
    water = sample(seq(1,120,.1), 100, replace=T),
    PerCover = sample(seq(.3,1,.1), 100, replace=T))
head(data)
```

```
## lat long water PerCover
## 1 30 100.0 31.1 0.6
## 2 30 100.1 9.9 1.0
## 3 30 100.2 21.3 0.3
## 4 30 100.3 89.2 1.0
## 5 30100.4 36.7 
## 6 30 100.5 62.2 0.5
```


## Solution

This can be done in only a few steps, or a longer serious of convuluded middle steps

```
data$HabitatType <- NA
data$HabitatType[data$water>90 & data$PerCover<=0.50] <- "pond"
data$HabitatType[data$water<=90 & data$PerCover<=0.50] <- "mudflat"
data$HabitatType[data$water>50 & data$PerCover>0.50] <- "wetland"
data$HabitatType[data$water<=50 & data$PerCover>0.50] <- "wetfield"
data$HabitatType[data$water<=10 & data$PerCover>0.50] <- "upland"
data$HabitatType[data$water<=10 & data$PerCover<=0.50] <- "bare"
head(data)
```

| \#\# | lat | long | water | PerCover | HabitatType |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | 1 | 30 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 0.6 | wetfield

Here the important thing to note is if you create the column before hand and fill it with NAs then we can use subsetting to tell it where to put in the correct values. This doesn't work if this column has never been created.

Bonus 1. (Very Hard) During my field work I noticed that wetlands tend to occur next to other wetlands. I want to figure out how many of my wetland cells have atleast 1 neighbor that is also a wetland (This is a loose measure of contagion in landscape ecology).

Count neighbors as only their north/south/east/west neighbor (4 neighbor rule). Assume anything outside our study area is a 0 .
Hint - In this instance you'll have to make a $10 \times 10$ matrix with latitude as rows, columns as longitude and wetland is coded as 1 or 0 .

Solution This solution just represents what I came up with, I think you can do this many different ways and I'd love to see other ways people did it. Let first make our matrix. Now I've decided to go the easy route here, and assume that $I$ know how $R$ is going to fill the matrix in. This is because to $R$ a matrix is just one long vector, but with defined dimensions. So if we tell it the matrix should be $10 \times 10$ then it will lay the first 10 entries down in the first column, 2 nd 10 entries in the 2 nd column and so on. So we sort first and then just give the column to our matrix.

You'll notice we can give it the dimension names using the 'dimnames' argument and then supply it a list where the first entry is the row names and second is the column. This is useful, but for the solution I chose
later we have to leave this out (you'll see why). So I show it to you now, but then overwrite it without dim names.

```
data$wetland<-0
data$wetland[data$HabitatType=='wetland']<-1
data2<-data[,c('lat','long','wetland')]
data2<-data2[order(-data2$lat, data2$long),]
##this is typically what we'd do
spatial<-matrix(data2$wetland, 10, 10, dimnames=list(unique(data2$lat),unique(data2$long)))
spatial
```

| \#\# | 100 | 100.1 | 100.2 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 100.7 | 100.8 | 100.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | 30.9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | 30.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | 30.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# 30.6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# 30.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# 30.4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# 30.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# 30.2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# 30.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

\#\#but for the next analysis we're going to do this, just trust me the rows and columns are correct spatial<-matrix(data2\$wetland, 10, 10)

This isn't necessarily the only way to make this into a 10X10 matrix, but it sure as heck is the easiest.
Now we need to calculate for each point whether it's 4 neighbors are also wetlands. Many of you were probably thinking of using a for loop, and I do think you can in this situation. That loop won't scale particularly well, and you still will have to create very specific control structures for when its the 1st row, 1st column, last row, or last column. The solution I came up with is completely vectorized. Basically if you shift the matrix one column over, then our east neighbor is now where our original points were. Say we only wanted to figure out if the east neighbor was a wetland, we could artificially shift it one column over, and ask R if that value is 1 or 0 .

Compare these two matrices

| \#\# |  | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] | [,4] | [,5] | [,6] | [,7] | [,8] | [,9] | [,10] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#\# | [1, ] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [2,] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# | [3, ] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [4, ] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [5, ] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [6, ] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [7, ] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# | [8,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | [9,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# | [10,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |


| east |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ | $[, 6]$ | $[, 7]$ | $[, 8]$ | $[, 9]$ | $[, 10]$ |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

The east matrix is just the spatial dataframe shifted 1 column over (with 0's replacing the 10th column). If we ask R whether our dataframes neighbor to the right has a 1 in it. Does this not give us the answer?

```
east==1
```

| \#\# |  | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] | [,4] | [,5] | [,6] | [,7] | [,8] | [,9] | [,10] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#\# | [1,] | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [2,] | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [3,] | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [4,] | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [5,] | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [6,] | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [7,] | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [8,] | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \# | [9,] | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# | [10,] | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE |


| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ | $[, 6]$ | $[, 7]$ | $[, 8]$ | $[, 9]$ | $[, 10]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\# \#$ | $[1]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# \#$ | $[2]$, | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

If you accept that, then you can do that for all 4 neighbors, add them up, and then see how many neighbors does each point have that has a 1

```
east<-cbind(spatial[,2:10], rep(0,10))
west<-cbind(rep(0,10),spatial[,1:9])
north<-rbind(rep(0,10), spatial[1:9,])
south<-rbind(spatial[2:10,], rep(0,10))
add<-east+west+north+south
add
```

| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ | $[, 6]$ | $[, 7]$ | $[, 8]$ | $[, 9]$ | $[, 10]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\# \#$ | $[1]$, | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\# \#$ | $[2]$, | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\# \#$ | $[3]$, | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

```
spatial
```

| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ | $[, 6]$ | $[, 7]$ | $[, 8]$ | $[, 9]$ | $[, 10]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\# \#$ | $[1]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\# \#$ | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

And we see it does work. So now we just have to do the trivial matter of counting up how many of these cells are wetlands and have neighbors that are wetlands. Which is only trivial if youve gotten this far.

```
sum((add>0 & spatial>0))/(sum(spatial>0))
```

\#\# [1] 0.8292683

If you remember we decided not to give our matrix dimension names, the reason is, when you add up all of the shifted dataframe R attempts to add the like named columns and rows, and so the result is and 11 x 11 matrix with an unnamed row and unnamed column. I currently don't know how to fix this, but I assume it's an easy fix.

## Bonus 2. (INSANITY) THE COORDINATES ARE POLAR COORDINATES!

```
data1 <- data.frame(
    range = rep(seq(1,10,1), each=10),
    azimuth = rep(seq(0,350, 36), by=10),
    water = sample(seq(1,120,.1), 100, replace=T),
    PerCover = sample(seq(.3,1,.1), 100, replace=T))
head(data1)
```

| \#\# | range | azimuth | water | PerCover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# 1 | 1 | 0 | 30.2 | 0.7 |
| \#\# 2 | 1 | 36 | 82.8 | 1.0 |


| \#\# 3 | 1 | 72 | 118.4 | 0.4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# 4 | 1 | 108 | 97.1 | 0.4 |
| \#\# 5 | 1 | 144 | 69.5 | 0.9 |
| \#\# 6 | 1 | 180 | 94.1 | 0.9 |

Solution The only reason this one is rated insanity but not the previous question, is because I expected polar coordinates to freak people out. If you've figured out the last problem, however, then this problem shouldn't be harder. The only thing you have to remember is that 1 st column and 10 th column are actually next to each other because it's a grided circle. I'm really just going to do the same anaylsis as before but instead of giving it a phantom set of 0's, I can fill it in with values from the opposite column. notice, the rows don't change since this isn't some sort of crazy quad polar coordinate system or something.

This first step isn't important, if you wanted you could just copy 'range' and 'azimuth' into the spot of lat and long for quickness.

```
data1$HabitatType <- NA
data1$HabitatType[data1$water>90 & data1$PerCover<=0.50] <- "pond"
data1$HabitatType[data1$water<=90 & data1$PerCover<=0.50] <- "mudflat"
data1$HabitatType[data1$water>50 & data1$PerCover>0.50] <- "wetland"
data1$HabitatType[data1$water<=50 & data1$PerCover>0.50] <- "wetfield"
data1$HabitatType[data1$water<=10 & data1$PerCover>0.50] <- "upland"
data1$HabitatType[data1$water<=10 & data1$PerCover<=0.50] <- "bare"
data1$wetland<-0
data1$wetland[data1$HabitatType=='wetland']<-1
data2<-data1[,c('range','azimuth','wetland')]
data2<-data2[order(data2$azimuth, data2$range),]
spatial<-matrix(data2$wetland, 10, 10)
##here is where we add in the opposite column as opposed to zeros.
east<-cbind(spatial[,2:10], spatial[,1])
west<-cbind(spatial[,10],spatial[,1:9])
north<-rbind(rep(0,10), spatial[1:9,])
south<-rbind(spatial[2:10,], rep(0,10))
add<-east+west+north+south
sum((add>0 & spatial>0))/(sum(spatial>0))
```

\#\# [1] 0.8780488

I don't know why you'd actually set this experiment up in a polar grid, but you do find polar grids in remoting sensing and meterology, so its good to understand.

